Translation





PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference T708.QHOIL-1 International application No. PCT/JP2003/000395 International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A23D 9/00, A23L 1/30, C11B 5/00, C09K 15/04 FOR FURTHER ACTION SeeNotificationofTransmittalofInternational Prelim Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416) Priority date (day/month/year) 18 January 2002 (18.01.20)						
T708.QHOIL-1 International application No. PCT/JP2003/000395 International filing date (day/month/year) 20 January 2003 (20.01.2003) Priority date (day/month/year) 18 January 2002 (18.01.20	102)					
PCT/JP2003/000395 20 January 2003 (20.01.2003) 18 January 2002 (20.01.2003)	1021 I					
Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC						
Applicant KANEKA CORPORATION						
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which he amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (2001) and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). 	nave been					
These annexes consist of a total of sheets. 3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
III Lack of unity of invention IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applica citations and explanations supporting such statement	ibility;					
Certain documents cited						
VII Certain defects in the international application VIII Certain observations on the international application						
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report	3)					
Date of submission of the definition 25 June 2003 (25.06.2003) 25 December 2003 (25.12.200)						
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/JP Authorized officer						



Internationa ication No.

PCT/JP2003/000395

I. Basis of the report							
1. With regard to the elements of the international application:*							
\boxtimes	the internation	al application as originally filed					
	the description	n:					
	pages	, as originally filed					
	pages	, filed with the demand					
	pages	, filed with the letter of					
	the claims:						
	pages	, as originally filed					
	pages	, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19					
	pages	, nied with the defination					
	pages	, filed with the letter of					
	the drawings:						
	pages	, as originally filed					
	pages	, filed with the demand					
	pages	, filed with the letter of					
	the sequence lis	sting part of the description:					
	-	, as originally filed					
	pages	, filed with the demand					
	pages	, filed with the letter of					
3. Wit prel	the language the language or 55.3). The regard to a minimary examination of the furnished sulfurnished sulfu						
4.	the d	nents have resulted in the cancellation of: escription, pages laims, Nos rawings, sheets/fig nas been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go					
in	beyond the d	lisclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).** s which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16)					
		heet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.					

v.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicab	ility;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement	

	Citations and explanations supporting each entertain						
1.	Statement						
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-16	YES			
		Claims		NO			
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES			
	• • •	Claims	1-16	NO			
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-16	YES			
		Claims		NO			
		Claims		NO			

2. Citations and explanations

- Document 1: EP 424679 A2 (Societe des Produits Nestle S. A.), 27 September 1990, refer to the entire document, & JP 3-167293 A & US 5258179 A & DE 69024321 A & CA 2027677 A
- Document 2: C. WEBER et al., "Antioxidative Effect of Dietary Coenzyme Q10 in Human Blood Plasma,"

 Vitamin and Nutrition Research, 1994, Vol.

 64 (4), pages 311-315, refer to the entire document
- Document 3: EP 803201 A2 (CPC International Inc.), 29
 October 1997, refer to the entire document,
 & JP 10-45614 A
- Document 4: WO 96/38047 Al (Unilever N. V.), 05 December 1996, refer to the claims, the examples and the like, & US 6441050 A & AU 200183426 A

Document 1 discloses the feature of mixing a coenzyme Q with a fatty substance or a food product, a cosmetic or a pharmaceutical preparation that contains a fatty substance in order to inhibit the oxidation of the fatty substance or the food products, cosmetics and pharmaceutical preparations, and specifically discloses the feature of producing a sample material wherein ubiquinone CoQ10 has been added to a fat. Likewise,

document 1 discloses a feature wherein the amount of the coenzyme is between 0.1 and 5.0% on the basis of the weight of the fatty substance, a feature wherein an oxidation inhibitor such as ascorbic acid is also added and a feature wherein coenzyme Q is added to a fat, heated to a temperature of approximately 60°C and stirred in order to inhibit the oxidation of the fat.

Document 2 indicates that both coenzyme Q10 and the reduced form of coenzyme Q10 act as anti-oxidizing agents.

Document 3 discloses a feature wherein corn germ oil that has been enriched with ubiquinone 9/10 or compounds comprising a food base or a carrier that has been added to the corn germ oil are used as dietetic foods or pharmaceutical preparations that exhibit a blood coagulation-inhibiting action, and discloses a method wherein corn germ oil that has been enriched with ubiquinone 9/10 is administered orally in order to inhibit blood coagulation. Document 3 also discloses examples using corn oil (A), which comprises 264ppm of ubiquinone Q9 and 7ppm of ubiquinone Q10, and corn oil (B), which comprises 306ppm of ubiquinone Q9 and 8ppm of ubiquinone Q10.

Document 4 discloses the feature of adding tocotrienol or the like to fat-based food products, and discloses spreads, cheeses, shortenings, cooking oils, frying oils and the like as the fat-based food products.

The feature of inhibiting oxidation by adding ubiquinone CoQ10, which is one type of coenzyme Q, to fat-containing food products was well known prior to the priority date of this application as disclosed in document 1, and the feature wherein the reduced form of coenzyme Q10, which is to say ubiquinol, also has an anti-oxidizing action like that of coenzyme Q10 was well known prior to the priority date of this application as indicated in document 2, therefore it would be easy for a person

skilled in the art to conceive of the feature of adding ubiquinol in order to inhibit the oxidation of food products that contain fats and oils. Likewise, it would be easy for a person skilled in the art to enhance the anti-oxidizing action by further adding ubiquinone.

In addition, oils that have been enriched with ubiquinone or food products that contain said oils can be used as health food products as disclosed in document 3; therefore, it would be possible for a person skilled in the art to predict that ubiquinol-enriched oils or food products that contain said oils have a health-promoting effect.

Furthermore, the addition of an anti-oxidizing substance or an edible pigment, and the selection of fat-containing food products such as dairy products or oils and fats that are used in foods can bee configured by a person skilled in the art as necessary, as disclosed in documents 1 and 4.

Therefore, it is considered to be easy for a person skilled in the art to conceive of the inventions set forth in claims 1-16 in the light of documents 1 and 4.